Education Research (Quantitative)

Abstract Review Rubric

	0 - Unacceptable	1 - Good	2 – Very good
CLARITY OF OBJECTIVES	No clear objectives or very	Adequate study objectives but not	Objectives are clear but require
	inappropriate.	optimally detailed.	minor clarification.
CHOICE OF APPROACH	Design did not assess stated	Chosen study design was reasonable	Chosen study design was a very good
	hypothesis/objectives or design used	to assess the stated objectives.	method for assessing the stated
	is not clear.		objectives.
OUTCOME MEASURES and	Outcome measure not stated or high	Outcome measures stated, but not	Defined outcomes measures, but
ASSESSMENT OF BIAS	likelihood of significant bias.	ideal or with some mild potential for	incompletely controlled, or protected
		bias.	from bias.
STATISTICS	Severely flawed or no statistical	Statistical methods and reporting are	Statistical methods and reporting are
	methods were reported.	mostly adequate, but not	largely correct but are missing few
		comprehensive.	descriptors.
SAMPLE SIZE APPROPRIATENESS	Study size not reported, poor survey	Examples: (a) feasibility or single-	Examples: (a) well-powered single-
	response rate or no description of	centre RCT or prospective cohort	centre RCT or prospective cohort
	databases searched for systematic	study or retrospective studies; (b)	study or retrospective studies; (b)
	reviews.	large, suitable-response-rate surveys;	large, national, high-response-rate
		(c) adequate number of databases	surveys; (c) most appropriate
		covered for systematic reviews.	databases covered for systematic
			reviews.
IMPORTANCE OF TOPIC	Not relevant to health/medical	Either important topic or may be	Both an important topic and may be
	education.	practicing-changing for	practicing-changing/of interest for
		health/medical education.	health/medical education.
WRITING QUALITY	Poorly written and hard to	Generally well-written but requires	Well-written but requires a slight
	understand.	minor clarifications or corrections.	correction.
OVERALL IMPRESSION	Unacceptable.	Good.	Very Good.

Adapted from CAEP 2022 Education Research (Quantitative) Abstract Review Criteria.